

From: larry@smith-house.org@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 11/19/01 2:45pm
Subject: Why is "monopoly" not a crime in the past tense?

This agreement simply does not punish Microsoft in any way for the monopolistic crimes it has already committed and which are admitted as such in the findings of fact. It's ability to keep Microsoft from continuing to indulge its monopoly is doubtful to say the least. That the gov't would assent to this plan after proving Microsoft a monopoly so irrefutably is a travesty.

regards,

--

.-. .-. .---. .---. .-.-. | Wild Open Source Inc.

| |__ / | \ | -< | | -< > / | "Making the bazaar just a

`---'^-''-''-''-''-'' | little more commonplace."

home: www.smith-house.org | work: www.wildopensource.com